

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,803	08/27/2003	Marco Musaragno	66309-0182	3939
75	90 11/02/2005		EXAM	INER
DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC THIRD FLOOR WEST			SMALLEY, JAMES N	
1300 I Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20005			3727	

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· . •		<i>\</i>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/648,803	MUSARAGNO, MARCO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James N. Smalley	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I.  lety filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Au</u>	<u>igust 2005</u> .					
,-	·					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	o3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,9,11-15 and 17-21</u> is/are pendin	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,9,11-15 and 17-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 20 and 21 is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) 20 and 21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19</u> is/are allowed.						
•	∑ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,9,11-15,17 and 18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The bath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	anniner. Note the attached Office	Action of form F 10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·	·				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	5) D Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/8/05</u> .	6)					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendment

1. Due to the new grounds of rejection, this action is Non-Final.

#### Election/Restrictions

2. Newly submitted claims 20-21 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: The claims are drawn to a method of manufacturing a stopper of plural parts, classified in class 264, subclass 241, thus having a separate search classification than that of the originally presented embodiment.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits.

Accordingly, claims 20-21 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention.

See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11 discloses one of the suitable materials for the body as "synthetic material comprising at lease one film of acrylic copolymer anchored to a support of a non woven fabric." To the best degree the Examiner understands the claimed invention, the construction is actually drawn to the membrane, which was separately claimed from the body in independent claim 9. It is unclear if this construction is an actual suitable material for forming the body. According to paragraph [0031] in the instant Specification, the only suitable material for forming the body is polyethylene with an added expansion agent.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,803 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

## Claim Objections

5. Claims 1 and 19 are objected to because of the following informalities: The claims contain the phrase, "a membrane provided with/having microholes located in the tube." As presented, the claims could be interpreted whereby the microholes are located in the tube. It is suggested the Applicant amend the claims, by providing a comma, so as to clearly define the holes being located in the membrane.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made
- 7. Claims 1-6, 8-9, 11-15 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suter EP 629,559 in view of Ryder US 4,750,610.

Suter '559, in the embodiment of figure 5, teaches a synthetic cork, with a duct, and a tube located within the duct. The tube contains a filter, but it is not clear if the filter comprises microholes.

Ryder '610 teaches a hydrophobic filter (36) for a contact lens case, which is disposed within the duct of a synthetic tubular housing (34). In col. 4, line 37, the reference discloses the microholes have a diameter of 0.2 microns. In col. 4, lines 37-38, the reference teaches the filter is an acrylic copolymer anchored to a non-woven nylon fabric.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the filter of Suter '559, providing the membrane of Ryder '610 because the two are mechanical expedients equally capable of filtering air while preventing passage of liquid.

Regarding claims 5-6, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the body of any suitable material because it has been held to be within the

Application/Control Number: 10/648,803

Art Unit: 3727

general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended

use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 19 is allowed.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

See attached PTO-892, citing relevant references.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to James N. Smalley whose telephone number is (571) 272-4547. The examiner can

normally be reached on M-Th 9-6:30, Alternate Fri 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Nathan Newhouse can be reached on (571) 272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

I. NEWHOUSE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

ins